

**Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.**

This article was published in the Elsevier *Reference Module in Life Sciences*, and the attached copy is provided by Elsevier for the author's benefit and for the benefit of the author's institution, for non-commercial research and educational use including without limitation use in instruction at your institution, sending it to specific colleagues who you know, and providing a copy to your institution's administrator.



All other uses, reproduction and distribution, including without limitation commercial reprints, selling or licensing copies or access, or posting on open internet sites, your personal or institution's website or repository, are prohibited. For exceptions, permission may be sought for such use through Elsevier's permissions site at:

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissionusematerial>

Osvaldo E. Sala, Lucía Vivanco and Pedro Flombaum, Grassland Communities and Ecosystems, In Reference Module in Life Sciences, Elsevier, 2017, ISBN: 978-0-12-809633-8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809633-8.02201-9>

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Grassland Communities and Ecosystems<sup>☆</sup>

**Oswaldo E Sala**, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, United States

**Lucía Vivanco**, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Pedro Flombaum**, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### Glossary

**Convention on biological diversity** The Convention was first enacted in June 1992, has been signed by many countries, and its objectives are the conservation of biological diversity, the sustainable use of its components and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization of genetic resources, including by appropriate access to genetic resources and by appropriate transfer of relevant technologies, taking into account all rights over those resources and to technologies, and by appropriate funding.

**Functional type** A group of species that share morphological and physiological characteristics that result in a common ecological role.

**Global biodiversity assessment (GBA)** The Global Biodiversity Assessment is an independent peer-reviewed

analysis of the biological and social aspects of biodiversity commissioned by United Nations Environment Programme.

**Niche complementarity** Refers to how the ecological niches of species may not fully overlap and complement each other. Consequently, an increase in the number of species that complement each other may result in a larger volume of total resources utilized and in higher rate of ecosystem processes.

**Sampling effect** Refers to the phenomenon where increases in the number of species increase the probability of including in the community a species with a strong ecosystem effect. This phenomenon yields an increase in ecosystem processes with increases in diversity without invoking niche complementarity.

### Extent of Grasslands

Grasslands are one of the major vegetation types in the world accounting for 46% of terrestrial surface (Shantz, 1954). This fraction includes grasslands contained within other biomes, such as high-altitude grasslands within the tropical forest biome. They exist in all continents and cover a vast area of  $49 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup>. In North America, grassland is the potential natural vegetation of most of the Great Plains, and it reaches from the Chihuahuan desert in the south to the deciduous forests of Canada in the north and from the Rocky Mountains in the west to the deciduous forest of the eastern United States (Fig. 1). In South America, grassland is the potential vegetation of the vast pampas and most of the Patagonian steppe. Finally, in Asia, grassland ecosystems cover a huge area from Ukraine to China.


### Determinants of Grasslands

Grasslands are water-limited ecosystems, and water availability defines their distribution in space. The amount of water available for plants primarily depends on precipitation amount and temperature. The former is the input of water and the latter controls the loss of water from the ecosystem, since as temperature increases so does the evaporative demand. Increases in temperature result in increases in soil evaporation and plant transpiration; consequently, for a similar precipitation regime, the water balance becomes more negative as temperature increases. In contrast with most biological phenomena, primary production in grasslands decreases with increasing temperature, highlighting the importance of the indirect mechanism of the temperature control on the distribution of grasslands (Epstein *et al.*, 1996).

Grassland ecosystems occur in areas of the world that have an annual precipitation between 150 and 1200 mm and mean annual temperature between 0 and 25°C (Whittaker, 1975). Along precipitation gradients, grasslands are located between forests and deserts. In North America, South America, and Asia, clear E–W precipitation gradients exist. In North and South America there are very small changes in elevation along those precipitation gradients and vegetation changes are mostly accounted for by precipitation. At the eastern and wettest end of North America, tallgrass prairie is the dominant vegetation, which is replaced by mixed-grass prairie and by shortgrass steppe at the driest end of the gradient. A similar pattern occurs in Asia and South America (Fig. 1).

Although temperature and precipitation are the major determinants of the distribution of grasslands, fire also may play an important role. Fire becomes particularly important in the grass–forest ecotones where the dominance of grasses or woody plants in many cases is determined by the frequency and intensity of fires. For example, in North American tallgrass prairie, the area covered by woody plants has increased dramatically in the past 100 years, and the human intervention in reducing fire frequency is largely responsible for the change (Briggs *et al.*, 1998). Similarly, data from pollen profiles, tree ring analysis, and photographic

<sup>☆</sup>*Change History:* October 2016. Oswaldo E. Sala updated the Sections "Soil Biota," "Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning in Grasslands," and "The Future of Biodiversity in Grasslands."



**Fig. 1** Map of the global distribution of temperate grasslands. Adapted from Figure 7.2 in Bailey, R.G., 2014. *Ecoregions: The Ecosystems Geography of the Oceans and Continents*, New York: Springer, 87 pp.

sources documented a shift in the grassland–forest ecotone in northern Patagonia with woody vegetation invading grasslands (Veblen and Markgraf, 1988). Again, fire control implemented by land managers was responsible for the forest expansion.


Soil texture also modulates the distribution of grasslands by modifying the soil water-holding capacity and the location of water in the profile. First, water penetrates deeper into the soil profile in coarse-textured soils than in fine-textured soils. This is because soil water-holding-capacity is lower in coarse- than fine-textured soils. Therefore, the same rainfall event penetrates deeper in a coarse- than in a fine-textured soil. Second, grasses and woody vegetation have contrasting rooting patterns with grasses having predominantly shallow roots and shrubs and woody plants having deep roots (Jackson *et al.*, 1996). Consequently, for a given amount of precipitation, grasses dominate in areas with predominantly fine-textured and woody-plants in coarse-textured soils (Sala *et al.*, 1997; Fig. 2).

Finally, seasonality of precipitation and the synchrony between the wet and warm seasons also affect the dominance of grasses and woody vegetation. Regions such as the Patagonian grasslands in South America, where precipitation occurs during the cold season when evapotranspiration is low, experience a deep distribution of water in the soil profile (Paruelo and Sala, 1995; Fig. 2). In these types of ecosystems, because during the rainy season temperature and evaporation are low, each rainfall event is likely to find a wet soil with upper soil horizons water saturated. Therefore, after a precipitation event water penetrates deeper into the soil profile. In contrast, in regions with similar total precipitation but with it occurring during the warm season, such as the shortgrass steppe in North America, the profile of soil water availability is shallow (Sala *et al.*, 1992). Evapotranspiration in between rainfall events is high so each rainfall event most likely finds a dry soil profile consequently just wetting the uppermost horizons. In synthesis, for equal amounts of annual precipitation, ecosystems with cold season precipitation tend to have deeper soil water profiles and have a higher component of woody plants. Ecosystems with warm season precipitation tend to have shallower soil water profiles are dominated by grass vegetation.

Precipitation and temperature are the major drivers of the distribution of grasslands. This distribution is modified at the edges by fire, soil and seasonality of precipitation. Selective grazers and mowing also control the distribution of grasslands. A large fraction of European grasslands would not be grasslands if it was not for the frequent mowing. This article focuses exclusively on climatically determined grasslands, in contrast with grasslands resulting from human intervention. Anthropogenic grasslands are located in areas where potential natural vegetation is forest. Humans, in an attempt to produce forage for domestic animals, have logged forests and have maintained these plots as grasslands by mowing them periodically.

## Biodiversity

Biodiversity can be examined in many different ways, and multiple definitions exist for what constitutes “biodiversity.” Nevertheless, the authors of the Global Biodiversity Assessment, using definitions originally proposed by the Convention on Biological



**Fig. 2** A conceptual model explaining the relative abundance of grasses and woody vegetation as a function of overlap in seasonality of temperature and precipitation (congruence of warm and wet seasons) and soil texture. The darker area represents conditions that are likely to favor the persistence of grasses, while the lighter area represents conditions that favor woody vegetation. The intersection of the two areas represents points where biotic influences are likely to be most pronounced. Adapted from Sala, O.E., Lauenroth, W.K., Golluscio, R.A., 1997. Plant functional types in temperate semi-arid regions. In: Smith, T.M., Shugart, H.H., Woodward, F.I. (Eds.) *Plant Functional Types*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 217–233.

Diversity, defined biological diversity as “variability among living organisms from all sources” (Heywood and Baste, 1995). Here, we focus on biodiversity in terms of taxonomically defined species, and the vast majority of studies quantifying ecosystem variation have used this measure. However, genetic biodiversity (genetic variation within a single species) and ecological diversity (including landscape diversity and functional group diversity) are also important components of biological diversity. The definition of biodiversity, therefore, will depend to a certain extent on one’s objective and scale of interest, ranging from the gene to the ecosystem.

## Plant Species Diversity

Floristic diversity in grasslands varies broadly, with many natural types of grassland having a very high level of plant species diversity, at times approaching that seen in mainland tropical forests (Groombridge and Jenkins, 2002). Grasslands are dominated by grasses (family Poaceae, excluding bamboos). The pampa region in Argentina represents some of the highest diversity grassland, with more than 400 species of grasses (Cabrera, 1970). In North America, more than 250 native species are found in tallgrass prairie (Freeman, 1998), the vast majority of which are perennial grasses.

Plant species diversity shows a great spatial variation. Grassland communities can be very species rich at fine spatial scales but tend to be similar and structurally simple over large areas (Groombridge and Jenkins, 2002). For example, plant species turnover among stands occupying different landscape position was 50% greater than among communities encompassing two degrees of latitude in the Flooding Pampa grasslands of Argentina (Perelman *et al.*, 2001). Grasslands tend to have low rates of endemism, however, and the climatic and soil gradients within them have led to substantial ecotypic variation and high genetic diversity (Groombridge and Jenkins, 2002). Plant species of grasslands can be categorized into four functional types: grasses, shrubs, succulents, and herbs (Sala *et al.*, 1997). The classification of plant species into functional types only has epistemological value and serves the purpose of facilitating the study of grasslands. This classification can be divided into many new subcategories or aggregated into fewer units depending on the needs of the analysis.

Grassland plant species can also be classified according to their photosynthetic pathway into  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species. The two groups of species have differences in the physiology of photosynthesis and in the morphology of leaves that result in different ecological characteristics that separate them in time and space. Regional analyses of the distribution of these two types of grass species showed that  $C_3$  species decrease southward in North America and northward in South America while  $C_4$  species show the opposite pattern (Paruelo *et al.*, 1998). Similarly, the abundance of  $C_3$  species increases whereas that of  $C_4$  decreases along an altitudinal gradient (Cavagnaro, 1988). These biogeographical analyses correlate with ecophysiological studies showing that  $C_4$  species have photosynthesis optima at higher temperature, have higher water use efficiency, and are better adapted to low water availability conditions (Kemp and Williams, 1980).

## Animal Species Diversity

All major taxonomic groups are represented in grasslands, but despite their large areal extent (40% of the area of terrestrial ecosystems), overall faunal diversity is lower than in many other biomes. The number of bird and mammalian species that are found primarily in grasslands are estimated to be 477 and 245, respectively, representing only 5% of the world's species for each taxonomic group (Groombridge, 1992). Local diversity can be high in specific areas. For example, there are an estimated 208 avian species for tallgrass prairie (Kauffman *et al.*, 1998). However, general patterns show lower diversity for most taxonomic groups compared with other ecosystems.

One of the striking features of grasslands in terms of animal diversity is the presence of large herbivores as a prominent component of secondary production. These large grazing mammals have an important impact on the functioning of grasslands, altering patterns of nutrient cycling, primary production, and plant species composition (Mcnaughton, 1993), although their presence and diversity varies across different continents. For example, in the Great Plains of North America, nearly all the large grazing mammals went extinct during the glaciation of the Pleistocene, but the proliferation of a very few species, particularly *Bison bison* (plains bison), dominated the plant–herbivore interactions until the introduction of domestic cattle at the beginning of the 20th century (Lauenroth and Milchunas, 1992). In contrast, African grasslands contain a very high level of mammalian diversity of grazers, with up to 20 species coexisting in a single reserve (Cumming, 1982). Finally, many South American grasslands evolved without the presence of large grazers, and their primary herbivory prior to the introduction of sheep and cattle was due to insect species (Bucher, 1982). Thus, although there is variation in the diversity of the large herbivores, their presence and importance is a distinctive characteristic of grassland ecosystems.

Small mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and insects also play an important role in the functioning of grasslands. Species richness of small mammals is actually higher than that for large mammals (168 vs. 77 species overall), and they are mostly granivores or omnivores (Groombridge, 1992). In contrast, in Australian deserts small mammals are mostly insectivorous. Fluctuations in seed supply caused by unpredictable environmental conditions and the infertile soils could be an explanation for these differences (Morton, 1993).

Avian diversity in grasslands represents 5% of the total species of the world species diversity, and again the fluctuating climate has an important control on this distribution. In this case, birds can migrate to remote areas outside of the grassland biome to seek alternative resources in periods of unfavorable conditions. In North American grasslands, which have a strong seasonality, there are large annual variations of passerines in response to climatic conditions. Additionally, within the grassland ecosystems, there exists a gradient of avian biomass that decreases with precipitation and primary production from the tallgrass prairie to the mixed-grassprairie and shortgrass steppe (Lauenroth and Milchunas, 1992).

Reptiles in grasslands are less diverse than mammals and birds, and amphibians are less diverse than reptiles in the tallgrass prairie of North America (Kauffman *et al.*, 1998). Latitude has an effect on the diversity of reptiles and amphibians because they are ectothermic organisms, with an increase in the number of species from north to south of the tallgrass prairie (Kucera, 1992).

Insects are a diverse element of the terrestrial macrofauna of tallgrass prairie (Kauffman *et al.*, 1998), reflecting general patterns of diversity for terrestrial ecosystems in which insects represent more than 50% of the species (Strong *et al.*, 1984). They have a very important role as herbivores, pollinators, predators, parasitoids, and decomposers. Herbivorous insects are probably the most conspicuous functional group in tallgrass prairie (Kauffman *et al.*, 1998) and may replace large grazing mammals as the primary consumer in some South American grasslands (Mcnaughton *et al.*, 1993). Arthropods, constituting the largest proportion of invertebrates in the shortgrass steppe and primarily herbivores, take advantage of the large amount of belowground primary production (Lauenroth and Milchunas, 1992). In fact, most grassland invertebrate biomass is found within the soil and may be in the order of 100–1000 times as great as vertebrate biomass (Groombridge and Jenkins, 2002). In terms of species numbers, a soil invertebrate study in tallgrass prairie showed more than 200 species of nematodes, with fungivores constituting 40% of the nematode species and the nematode biomass was exceeded only by that of bacterial and fungal groups (Ransom *et al.*, 1998).

## Soil Biota

Grasslands harbor an enormous diversity of soil biota that live all or part of their lives in or on the soil. Soil microorganisms are broadly defined as a group of microscopic life forms that include bacteria, archaea, viruses, and eukaryotes like fungi. Soil animals comprise protozoa and nematodes (conventionally grouped as microfauna because of their micrometric size), springtails, mites and other microarthropods (mesofauna, mm), and earthworms, termites, ants, beetles, and millipedes, among others (macrofauna, cm). Yet, a clear understanding of soil biodiversity is still lacking compared to that of plants and animals because their tiny size and hidden existence challenge their study (Wall *et al.*, 2010).

Microbial diversity has become tractable thanks to recent developments in molecular biology, providing unprecedented opportunities to understand soil biodiversity with special insights for grasslands (Fierer *et al.*, 2013). A single gram of soil can contain 10,000–50,000 different microbial organisms (Roesch *et al.*, 2007), but because so few of them have been described, similar organisms are grouped within “operational taxonomic units,” which correspond roughly but not precisely to species designations. At the global scale, soil bacterial and fungal diversity is primarily controlled by soil pH and mean annual precipitation (Lauber *et al.*, 2009; Tedersoo *et al.*, 2014). A study conducted across drylands that include grasslands and shrublands of all continents revealed that the diversity and abundance of soil bacteria and fungi was primarily affected by aridity

(Maestre *et al.*, 2015). The effects of aridity were directly associated by reductions in soil organic matter, which in turn is strongly related to microbial biomass (Fierer *et al.*, 2009; Maestre *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the importance of soil pH as a driver of microbial diversity reported across biomes is not apparent in those water-limited ecosystems where soil pH is generally close to neutral.

Diversity of soil bacteria in drylands is dominated by five bacterial phyla (Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia). Diversity of soil fungi is dominated by phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (Fierer *et al.*, 2012; Tedersoo *et al.*, 2014). In native tallgrass prairie of United States, a reconstruction of the soil microbial communities that once existed prior to agricultural practices revealed that changes in the relative abundance of Verrucomicrobia drove the biogeography of bacterial communities, with more than 50% abundance in the center of tallgrass prairie distribution but less than 15% on the edges of the prairie range (Fierer *et al.*, 2013). In xeric grasslands and shrublands, aridity shifted the relative abundance of main bacterial phyla: Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia decreased, and Chloroflexi and increased  $\alpha$ -Proteobacteria (Maestre *et al.*, 2015). Little is known, yet, about the implication of bacterial biogeographic patterns on ecosystem functioning, but emerging theoretical frameworks that would allow to identify microbial traits promise new insight into the understanding of the functional implications of microbial community composition (Martiny *et al.*, 2015).


Soil fungi can be classified by functional groups based on how they get their energy: saprotrophs (dead organic matter), mycorrhiza (colonize roots and obtain carbon from plants and, in exchange, help solubilize phosphorus and bring soil nutrients to plants), and pathogens (reduced production or death when they colonize roots and other organisms). All these functional groups are present in grasslands, but they have a low proportion (11.9%) of ectomycorrhizae, which is a particular type of mycorrhizae that grows on the surface layers of the roots, compared to other biomes, reflecting the paucity of host plants which are generally trees (Tedersoo *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, the number of endomycorrhizae that grow within the root cells per host plant species is high in grasslands (8.3 fungal taxa per plant species) and is only outnumbered in tropical forests (18.2 fungal taxa per plant species) (Opik *et al.*, 2006). These results highlight the tight association between fungal communities and vegetation types.

One special feature of grassland microbiota, particularly in xeric areas with low plant cover, is the presence of biological soil crusts. They are complex microbial communities that build crusts on the top layer of arid soils (Belnap, 2003; Garcia-Pichel and Belnap, 1996). Biological soil crusts are dominated by Cyanobacteria (e.g., *Microcoleus* sp.), a particular group that obtains energy through photosynthesis and produce a high amount of slime (extra-polymeric substances) that traps mineral particles and contribute to the formation of the crust. This Cyanobacteria also produces large quantities of a metabolic compounds capable of reducing soil surface albedo, and consequently increasing soil surface temperature (Couradeau *et al.*, 2016). Other members of the crust are bacteria, lichens, eukaryotic microalgae, and mosses. Biological crusts contribute greatly to carbon and nitrogen fixation in these ecosystems, help stabilize soil against erosion, and modify soil surface temperature.

Soil fauna is another important component of grasslands soils. Their biomass represent 4% of microbial biomass in grasslands, a higher proportion than the 2% global average (Fierer *et al.*, 2009). The relative importance in terms of biomass of the different groups within soil fauna is Earthworms > Enchytraeids > Nematoda > Acari > Collembola (Fierer *et al.*, 2009). The size of these organisms can restrict their location in the soil habitat. Smaller members of the microfauna like nematodes are basically aquatic organisms that live in the thin water films or capillary pores of aggregates, preying or grazing on other aquatic microfauna. Thus, soil nematodes abundance is closely related to soil moisture in mesic grasslands (Sylvain *et al.*, 2014). However, soil nematodes abundance was unaffected by increased or decreased soil moisture in xeric grasslands, suggesting that other factors impose a larger control on nematode abundance in ecosystems with strong water limitation (Sylvain *et al.*, 2014; Vandegheuchte *et al.*, 2015). While the understanding of soil biota is incomplete, there are increasing efforts to improve it because soil biota are responsible for vital ecosystem processes such as organic matter decomposition, a key step for carbon and nutrient cycling, as well as the maintenance of soil structure (Paul, 2014).

## Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning in Grasslands

The relationship between biological diversity and the functioning of ecosystems has been central in ecology; grassland ecosystems have been crucial in testing those ideas. The biodiversity and ecosystem functioning hypothesis indicates that the rate of ecosystem processes, such as primary productivity or nutrient cycling, might increase linearly as species richness increases and that this relationship eventually saturates (Vitousek and Hooper, 1993). The increase in ecosystem functioning can be interpreted as an evidence of niche complementarity; that is, the higher the number of species with niches that do not overlap the larger the total volume of resources exploited (Tilman *et al.*, 1997). For example, plots containing just shallow-rooted or deep-rooted species should have lower productivity than plots containing both groups of species that jointly have access to water and nutrients stored in both upper and lower layers of the soil. Alternatively, the same results can be interpreted as resulting from the sampling effect, which results from the increased probability of including species that outperform the others as the number of species in the mix increases (Huston, 1997; Tilman *et al.*, 1997). Grassland ecosystems played a key role in testing the biodiversity-ecosystem-functioning hypothesis mostly because of the small size and short life span of grasses that made manipulative experiments feasible with few resources and in short periods of time. The most common manipulative experiment type that was used in grasslands is called "replacement series" where, at the beginning of the experiment, treatments differ in the number of species but keep plant biomass or density constant. This type of experiment normally includes treatments where each individual species grows alone. Monocultures are used to estimate biodiversity effect, that is the difference in production between full diversity and monoculture treatments (De Wit and Van Den Bergh, 1965; Loreau and Hector, 2001). The Relative Yield Total (RYT) compares



**Fig. 3** The effect of biodiversity on primary production in natural and artificial ecosystems. The RYT compares the performance of a mixture of species with the average of monocultures. Values greater than 1 indicate that biodiversity is responsible for the increase in primary production. The effect of biodiversity in natural ecosystem is larger than expected using artificial ecosystems. Reproduced from Flombaum, P., Sala, O.E., 2008. Higher effect of plant species diversity on productivity in natural than artificial ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 105, 6087–6090.

the performance of a mixture of species with the average of monocultures and indicates the strength of the biodiversity effect (De Wit and Van Den Bergh, 1965). Values greater than 1 indicate that biodiversity is responsible for the increase in primary production.

The first large-scale field experiment was located in the North American tallgrass prairie and showed a saturating curve with total plant cover and nutrient uptake increasing with species richness up to a level of approximately 10 species (Tilman *et al.*, 1996). Other sets of experiments across Europe and United States basically showed similar results (Hector *et al.*, 1999; Reich *et al.*, 2004; Roscher *et al.*, 2005). Grassland biodiversity-ecosystem-functioning studies reported an average RYT of 1.2 with a range between 0.9 and 2.2 (Fig. 3; Flombaum and Sala, 2008).

Biodiversity also contributes to maintaining long-term stability of primary production in grasslands. Experiments maintained through more than a decade showed positive effect of biodiversity on the stability of primary production (Cardinale *et al.*, 2013; Hautier *et al.*, 2014; Hector *et al.*, 2010; Isbell *et al.*, 2015). A high stability in productivity means that the amount of biomass produced is similar among years and imposes a buffer effect against climatic variability. These experiments also show the positive effect of biodiversity on primary production persisted over time and were accompanied by an increase in niche complementarity (Reich *et al.*, 2012). Together, these results reaffirm the role of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning in grasslands and suggest that the effect of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning might be even larger than assessed previously in short term experiments. It is likely that the magnitude of the biodiversity effect is positively related to the co-evolutionary history of species in the mix and negatively to the frequency and intensity of disturbance (Sala, 2001), both most likely to occur in natural than in synthetic communities, and in long term than short term experiments.

A large effort has been made in identifying the relative contribution of niche complementarity and sampling effect. Using analytical tools, Loreau and Hector (2001) found that niche complementarity was the most important mechanism to account for increases in primary production with biodiversity. Long-term field experiments in grasslands further strengthened this argument by showing that niche complementarity increased while sampling effect decreased through time (Fargione *et al.*, 2007; Van Ruijven and Berendse, 2009).

Niche complementarity can be assessed by the degree of overlap among plant-species traits that affect resource use. In Patagonian grasslands, dominant plant species differed in resource use, and plant traits affecting water and nitrogen cycles contributed the most to niche complementarity (Flombaum and Sala, 2012). After more than two decades from the first experiments performed in grasslands, a general consensus exists that the positive relationship between plant diversity and net primary production is mostly due to niche complementarity (Balvanera *et al.*, 2006; Cardinale *et al.*, 2007; Hillebrand and Matthiessen, 2009; Hooper *et al.*, 2005).

One open question is whether biodiversity loss has equivalent consequences for grasslands as other environmental stressors, such as fire, N-deposition, grazing, or climate change. Comparison of multiple grassland experiments that manipulated other global change drivers revealed that the loss of biodiversity imposed bigger changes on primary production compared to other human induced stressors (Tilman *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, a comparison of global change drivers in Patagonia showed that biodiversity had a larger impact on ecosystem functioning than experimental changes in precipitation, nitrogen availability, temperature, and grazing intensity (Flombaum *et al.*, 2016). Consequently, expected global biodiversity loss


(see Section The Future of Biodiversity in Grasslands) will have a large negative effect on grasslands primary production, and carbon sequestration.

### The Future of Biodiversity in Grasslands

Biodiversity in grassland ecosystems is seriously threatened by human activity. Biodiversity scenarios are tools that assess the effect of social and ecological drivers on biodiversity (Pereira *et al.*, 2010). Two independent scenario studies developed at the global scale for the next 50 and 100 years highlighted that grassland ecosystems were among the most vulnerable ecosystem types (Sala *et al.*, 2000, 2005). Depending on how interactions among drivers of biodiversity loss were modeled, grasslands ranged from the most threatened biome to the third most threatened behind tropical forests, arctic ecosystems, and southern temperate forests (Sala *et al.*, 2000). According to the most comprehensive regional scenarios, grassland habitats will be degraded in a way that between 8 and 10% of its vascular plant species will be committed to extinction by the year 2050 (Sala *et al.*, 2005). It is important to highlight that there is a lag from the time that habitat destruction occurs driving populations below the long-term survival size and the actual occurrence of species extinction (Cronk, 2016).

Differences between these estimates are driven by different socio-economic scenarios with Order from Strength being the most pessimistic from the biodiversity point of view with 10% loss and Adapting Mosaic the most optimistic with 8% loss. Order from Strength is a scenario driven by security and protection issues that yield a fragmented world with islands of wealth isolated from the rest of the world where population growth and food demand remain highest (Fig. 4(a)). High demand for food and low technology transfer from developed to developing nations result in large conversions of grasslands into croplands with the corresponding loss in biodiversity. In contrast, Adapting Mosaic is one of the most optimistic scenarios from the point of view of biodiversity loss where regional watershed-scale ecosystems are the focus of political and economic activity (Fig. 4(b)). Local institutions are strengthened and societies develop a strong proactive approach to the management of ecosystems. Perhaps, the most striking feature of these scenario results is the small difference between the most optimistic and the most pessimistic scenarios. Even under the most optimistic scenario, large biodiversity losses are going to occur in grassland ecosystems. Another scenario study focused on comparing the effects of land-use and climate change on a fraction of the world also concluded that grasslands and scrublands were among the most threatened ecosystems (Thomas *et al.*, 2004). This work indicated that 15% of the species in grasslands and 28% of the species in scrublands will be committed to extinction by 2050.

The most recent and comprehensive global assessment of the drivers of biodiversity change analyzed records for 8000 species and concluded that overexploitation and land-use change were the major driver of species losses (Maxwell *et al.*, 2016). Over-exploitation includes the harvesting of species from the wild at a rate that exceeds the ability of regrowth of natural populations. Land-use change is mostly driven by the transformation of native grasslands into croplands and cultivated forests.



**Fig. 4** Scenarios of biodiversity change for different biomes for the years 2020 and 2050. Bars represent relative losses of biodiversity of vascular plants through habitat loss for different biomes for two scenarios: (a) Order from Strength and (b) Adapting Mosaic. Losses of biodiversity would occur when populations reach equilibrium with habitat available in 2050 and are relative to 1970 values. Darker bars represent scenarios for 2020 and lighter bars for 2050. Adapted from original Figure 10.6 in Sala, O.E., Van Vuure, D., Pereira, H., *et al.*, 2005. Biodiversity across scenarios. In: Carpenter, S.R., Pingali, P.L., Bennett, E.M., Zurek, M. (Eds.) *Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Scenarios*, Washington, DC: Island Press, pp. 375–408.



What makes biodiversity in grassland ecosystems so vulnerable to human impact? Are grassland ecosystems particularly sensitive? Or, are they located in areas that will be affected the most? Grasslands are located in parts of the world where ecosystems are going to be hit the hardest by human activity (Sala *et al.*, 2000, 2005). Grasslands are among the biomes that are going to experience the largest conversion in land use because of their mild climate and favorable soil conditions that made them quite suitable for agriculture. The most dramatic changes in land use in grasslands are those that result from conversion into croplands. The conversion into agricultural land is not expected to be even across the world but rather driven by patterns of food demand and population growth that indeed are quite idiosyncratic. For example, the IMAGE2 model (Alcamo, 1994) predicts for the year 2100 a large increase in agricultural area in Africa and a reduction in North America resulting from both an increase in demand and an increase in intensification respectively. Biodiversity losses resulting from conversion to agriculture in one part of the world are not offset by a similar area that will be abandoned and is now reverting to grassland but that is located in a different part of the world. Therefore, total change in grassland area underestimates the impact of land-use change on biodiversity.

The major driver of biodiversity loss in grasslands in the next 50–100 years will be land-use change followed by climate change (Sala *et al.*, 2000, 2005; Thomas *et al.*, 2004). The third driver of biodiversity change in grasslands will be nitrogen deposition. Densely human-populated regions where nitrogen deposition is the highest are predominantly located in temperate regions where the potential native vegetation is that of grasslands.

## References

- Alcamo, J., 1994. Image 2: Integrated Modeling of Global Climate Change. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Balvanera, P., Pfisterer, A.B., Buchmann, N., *et al.*, 2006. Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and services. *Ecology Letters* 9, 1146–1156.
- Belnap, J., 2003. The world at your feet: Desert biological soil crusts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 1, 181–189.
- Briggs, J.M., Nellis, M.D., Turner, C.L., Henebry, G.M., Su, H., 1998. A landscape perspective of patterns and processes in tallgrass prairie. In: Knapp, A.K., Briggs, J.M., Hartnett, D.C., Collins, S.L. (Eds.), *Grassland Dynamics: Long-Term Ecological Research in Tallgrass Prairie*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bucher, E.H., 1982. Chaco and Caatinga – South American arid savannas, woodlands and thickets. In: Huntley, B.J., Walker, B.H. (Eds.), *Ecology of Tropical Savannas*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Cabrera, A.L. (Ed.), 1970. Flora de la Provincia de Buenos Aires: Gramineas. Buenos Aires: Coleccion Cientifica del INTA.
- Cardinale, B.J., Gross, K., Fritschie, K., *et al.*, 2013. Biodiversity simultaneously enhances the production and stability of community biomass, but the effects are independent. *Ecology* 94, 1697–1707.
- Cardinale, B.J., Wright, J.P., Cadotte, M.W., *et al.*, 2007. Impacts of plant diversity on biomass production increase through time because of species complementarity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104, 18123–18128.
- Cavagnaro, J.B., 1988. Distribution of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> grasses at different altitudes in a temperate arid region of Argentina. *Oecologia* 76, 273–277.
- Couradeau, E., Karaoz, U., Lim, H.C., *et al.*, 2016. Bacteria increase arid-land soil surface temperature through the production of sunscreens. *Nature Communications* 7, 8.
- Cronk, Q., 2016. Plant extinctions take time. *Science* 353, 446–447.
- Cumming, D.H.M., 1982. The influence of large herbivores on savanna structure in Africa. In: Huntley, B.J., Walker, B.H. (Eds.), *Ecology of Tropical Savannas*. New York: Springer Verlag.
- De Wit, C., Van Den Bergh, J., 1965. Competition between herbage plants. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science* 13, 212–221.
- Epstein, H., Lauenroth, W., Burke, I., Coffin, D., 1996. Ecological responses of dominant grasses along two climatic gradients in the Great Plains of the United States. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 7, 777–788.
- Fargione, J., Tilman, D., Dybzinski, R., *et al.*, 2007. From selection to complementarity: Shifts in the causes of biodiversity–productivity relationships in a long-term biodiversity experiment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 274, 871–876.
- Fierer, N., Ladau, J., Clemente, J.C., *et al.*, 2013. Reconstructing the microbial diversity and function of pre-agricultural tallgrass prairie soils in the United States. *Science* 342, 621–624.
- Fierer, N., Leff, J.W., Adams, B.J., *et al.*, 2012. Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbial communities and their functional attributes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109, 21390–21395.
- Fierer, N., Strickland, M.S., Liptzin, D., Bradford, M.A., Cleveland, C.C., 2009. Global patterns in belowground communities. *Ecology Letters* 12, 1238–1249.
- Flombaum, P., Sala, O.E., 2008. Higher effect of plant species diversity on productivity in natural than artificial ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105, 6087–6090.
- Flombaum, P., Sala, O.E., 2012. Effects of plant species traits on ecosystem processes: Experiments in the Patagonian steppe. *Ecology* 93, 227–234.
- Flombaum, P., Yahdjian, L., Sala, O.E., 2016. Global-change drivers of ecosystem functioning modulated by natural variability and saturating responses. *Global Change Biology*.
- Freeman, C.C., 1998. The flora of Konza prairie. A historical review and contemporary patterns. In: Knapp, A., Briggs, J., Hartnett, D., Collins, S. (Eds.), *Grassland Dynamics: Long-Term Ecological Research in Tallgrass Prairie*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Garcia-Pichel, F., Belnap, J., 1996. Microenvironments and microscale productivity of cyanobacterial desert crusts. *Journal of Phycology* 32, 774–782.
- Groombridge, B. (Ed.), 1992. *Global Biodiversity: Status of the Earth's Living Resources*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Groombridge, B., Jenkins, D., 2002. *World Atlas of Biodiversity*. Berkeley; Los Angeles; London: University of California Press.
- Hautier, Y., Seabloom, E.W., Borer, E.T., *et al.*, 2014. Eutrophication weakens stabilizing effects of diversity in natural grasslands. *Nature* 508, 521–525.
- Hector, A., Hautier, Y., Saner, P., *et al.*, 2010. General stabilizing effects of plant diversity on grassland productivity through population asynchrony and overyielding. *Ecology* 91, 2213–2220.
- Hector, A., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., *et al.*, 1999. Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science* 286, 1123–1127.
- Heywood, V.H., Baste, I., 1995. Introduction. In: Unep (Ed.), *Global Biodiversity Assessment*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hillebrand, H., Matthiessen, B., 2009. Biodiversity in a complex world: Consolidation and progress in functional biodiversity research. *Ecology Letters* 12, 1405–1419.
- Hooper, D.U., Chapin, F.S., Ewel, J.J., *et al.*, 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: A consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological Monographs* 75, 3–35.
- Huston, M.A., 1997. Hidden treatments in ecological experiments: Re-evaluating the ecosystem function of biodiversity. *Oecologia* 110, 449–460.
- Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., *et al.*, 2015. Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes. *Nature* 526, 574–577.
- Jackson, R., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J.R., *et al.*, 1996. A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia* 108, 389–411.

- Kauffman, D.W., Fay, P.A., Kaufman, G., Zimmerman, J.L., 1998. Diversity of terrestrial macrofauna. In: Knapp, A., Briggs, J., Hartnett, D., Collins, S. (Eds.), *Grassland Dynamics: Long-Term Ecological Research in Tallgrass Prairie*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kemp, P., Williams, G., 1980. A physiological basis for niche separation between *Agropyron smithii* (C3) and *Bouteloua gracilis* (C4). *Ecology* 61, 846–858.
- Kucera, C.L., 1992. Tallgrass prairie. In: Coupland, R.T. (Ed.), *Natural Grasslands: Introduction and Western Hemisphere*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Laubert, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75, 5111–5120.
- Lauenroth, W.K., Milchunas, D.G., 1992. Short-grass steppe. In: Coupland, R.T. (Ed.), *Natural Grasslands: Introduction and Western Hemisphere*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Loreau, M., Hector, A., 2001. Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature* 412, 72–76.
- Maestre, F.T., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T.C., et al., 2015. Increasing aridity reduces soil microbial diversity and abundance in global drylands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112, 15684–15689.
- Martiny, J.B.H., Jones, S.E., Lennon, J.T., Martiny, A.C., 2015. Microbiomes in light of traits: A phylogenetic perspective. *Science* 350.
- Maxwell, S., Fuller, R., Brooks, T., Watson, J., 2016. Biodiversity: The ravages of guns, nets and bulldozers. *Nature* 536, 143.
- Mcnaughton, S.J., 1993. Biodiversity and function of grazing ecosystems. In: Schulze, E.D., Mooney, H.A. (Eds.), *Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function*. Berlin; Heidelberg; New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Mcnaughton, S.J., Sala, O.E., Oesterheld, M., 1993. Comparative ecology of African and South American arid to subhumid ecosystems. In: Goldblatt, P. (Ed.), *Biological Relationships Between Africa and South America*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Morton, S.R., 1993. Determinants of diversity in animal communities. In: Ricklefs, R.E. (Ed.), *Species Diversity in Ecological Communities*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Opik, M., Moora, M., Liira, J., Zobel, M., 2006. Composition of root-colonizing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in different ecosystems around the globe. *Journal of Ecology* 94, 778–790.
- Paruelo, J.M., Jobbágy, E.G., Sala, O.E., Lauenroth, W.K., Burke, I.C., 1998. Functional and structural convergence of temperate grassland and shrubland ecosystems. *Ecological Applications* 8, 194–206.
- Paruelo, J.M., Sala, O.E., 1995. Water losses in the Patagonian steppe: A modelling approach. *Ecology* 76, 510–520.
- Paul, E.A., 2014. *Soil Microbiology, Ecology and Biochemistry*. Academic press.
- Pereira, H.M., Leadley, P.W., Proença, V., et al., 2010. Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st century. *Science* 330, 1496–1501.
- Perelman, S., León, R., Oesterheld, M., 2001. Cross-scale vegetation patterns of flooding pampa grasslands. *Journal of Ecology* 89, 562–577.
- Ransom, M.D., Rice, C.W., Todd, T.C., Wehmueller, W.A., 1998. Soils and soil biota. In: Knapp, A., Briggs, J., Hartnett, D., Collins, S. (Eds.), *Grassland Dynamics: Long-Term Ecological Research in Tallgrass Prairie*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Reich, P.B., Tilman, D., Isbell, F., et al., 2012. Impacts of biodiversity loss escalate through time as redundancy fades. *Science* 336, 589–592.
- Reich, P.B., Tilman, D., Naeem, S., et al., 2004. Species and functional group diversity independently influence biomass accumulation and its response to CO<sub>2</sub> and N. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101, 10101–10106.
- Roesch, L.F., Fulthorpe, R.R., Riva, A., et al., 2007. Pyrosequencing enumerates and contrasts soil microbial diversity. *ISME Journal* 1, 283–290.
- Roscher, C., Temperton, V.M., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., et al., 2005. Overyielding in experimental grassland communities-irrespective of species pool or spatial scale. *Ecology Letters* 8, 419–429.
- Sala, O.E., 2001. Price put on biodiversity. *Nature* 412, 34–36.
- Sala, O.E., Chapin, F.S., Armesto, J.J., et al., 2000. Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287, 1770–1774.
- Sala, O.E., Lauenroth, W.K., Golluscio, R.A., 1997. Plant functional types in temperate semi-arid regions. In: Smith, T.M., Shugart, H.H., Woodward, F.I. (Eds.), *Plant Functional Types*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sala, O.E., Lauenroth, W.K., Parton, W.J., 1992. Long term soil water dynamics in the shortgrass steppe. *Ecology* 73, 1175–1181.
- Sala, O.E., Van Vuuren, D., Pereira, H., et al., 2005. Biodiversity across scenarios. In: Carpenter, S.R., Pingali, P.L., Bennett, E.M., Zurek, M. (Eds.), *Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Scenarios*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Shantz, H., 1954. The place of grasslands in the earth's cover of vegetation. *Ecology* 35, 142–145.
- Strong, D.R., Lawton, J.H., Southwood, R.R.S., 1984. *Insects on Plants: Community Patterns and Mechanisms*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Press.
- Sylvain, Z.A., Wall, D.H., Cherwin, K.L., et al., 2014. Soil animal responses to moisture availability are largely scale, not ecosystem dependent: Insight from a cross-site study. *Global Change Biology* 20, 2631–2643.
- Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Pöhlme, S., et al., 2014. Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* 346.
- Thomas, C.D., Cameron, A., Green, R.E., et al., 2004. Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* 427, 145–148.
- Tilman, D., Lehman, C., Thomson, K., 1997. Plant diversity and ecosystem productivity: Theoretical considerations. *Proceedings National Academy of Science* 94, 1857–1861.
- Tilman, D., Reich, P.B., Isbell, F., 2012. Biodiversity impacts ecosystem productivity as much as resources, disturbance, or herbivory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109, 10394–10397.
- Tilman, D., Wedin, D., Knops, J., 1996. Productivity and sustainability influenced by biodiversity in grassland ecosystems. *Nature* 379, 718–720.
- Vandegheuchte, M.L., Sylvain, Z.A., Reichmann, L.G., et al., 2015. Responses of a desert nematode community to changes in water availability. *Ecosphere* 6, 1–15.
- Van Ruijven, J., Berendse, F., 2009. Long-term persistence of a positive plant diversity–productivity relationship in the absence of legumes. *Oikos* 118, 101–106.
- Veblen, T.T., Markgraf, V., 1988. Steppe expansion in Patagonia? *Quaternary Research* 30, 331–338.
- Vitousek, P.M., Hooper, D.U., 1993. Biological diversity and terrestrial ecosystem biogeochemistry. In: Schulze, E.D., Mooney, H.A. (Eds.), *Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Wall, D.H., Bardgett, R.D., Kelly, E., 2010. Biodiversity in the dark. *Nat. Geosci.* 3, 297–298.
- Whittaker, R.H., 1975. *Communities and Ecosystems*. New York: MacMillan Publishing Co.