

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Scott Collins

SENIOR EDITOR

James Verdier

Editors: Feature articles: Lesley Evans Ogden (levans_ogden@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Jyotsna Pandey (publicpolicy@aibs.org).

Editorial Board: James Aronson (Missouri Botanical Garden and EcoHealth Network), Heidi L. Ballard (University of California, Davis), Henry L. Bart Jr. (Tulane University), Jerrold L. Belant (State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry), James Bell (Victoria University of Wellington), Rick Bonney (Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology), Gordon Brown (US Department of the Interior [retired]), Catherine E. Carr (University of Maryland), Daniel L. Childers (Arizona State University), Rita R. Colwell (University of Maryland), Finn Danielsen (Nordic Foundation for Development and Ecology), Clifford Duke (National Academy of Sciences), Lauren Esposito (California Academy of Sciences), David L. Evans (Pennsylvania College of Technology), Cassandra G. Extavour (Harvard University), Charles Fenster (South Dakota State University), Eric A. Fischer (Congressional Research Service), Kirk Fitzhugh (LA County Museum of Natural History), Holly Gaff (Old Dominion University), Keith Gido (Kansas State University), Corinna Gries (University of Wisconsin), José Herrera (Western New Mexico University), Pierre Horwitz (Edith Cowan University, Australia), Cynthia S. Jones (University of Connecticut), Edna S. Kaneshiro (University of Cincinnati), Rodney Keenan (The University of Melbourne), Kevin Kirkman (University of KwaZulu-Natal), Harvey B. Lillywhite (University of Florida), Anja Linstädter (University of Cologne), Alan C. Love (University of Minnesota), Paula Mabee (University of South Dakota), Pim Martens (Maas-tricht University), Stasa Milojević (Indiana University), Emily Minor (University of Illinois), Anna K. Monfils (Central Michigan University), Lisa Schulte Moore (Iowa State University), Peter B. Moyle (University of California, Davis), Michael Nelson (Oregon State University), Christer Nilsson (Umeå University), Shelley M. Payne (University of Texas at Austin), Benjamin A. Pierce (Southwestern University), Jason Podrabsky (Portland State University), Pedro Quintana-Ascencio (University of Central Florida), Sahotra Sarkar (University of Texas at Austin), Nancy Shackell (Bedford Institute of Oceanography), Daniel Simberloff (University of Tennessee), Robert J. Steidl (University of Arizona), Manuela González Suárez (University of Reading, Whiteknights), Monica Turner (University of Wisconsin–Madison), Gordon E. Uno (University of Oklahoma), Montserrat Vila (Estación Biológica de Doñana), Lisette Waits (University of Idaho), Paige Warren (University of Massachusetts), Randy Wayne (Cornell University), Judith S. Weis (Rutgers University), Allison Whitmer (Georgetown University), David S. Wilcove (Princeton University), Jean Wyld (Springfield College), Jake Vander Zanden (University of Wisconsin).

BioScience (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 12 times a year by Oxford University Press, 2001 Evans Road, Cary, NC 27513. Periodicals postage paid at Cary, NC, and additional mailing offices.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to BioScience, Journals Customer Service Department, Oxford University Press, 2001 Evans Road, Cary, NC 27513-2009.

Membership and subscription: For a complete listing of subscription rates available, please visit <https://academic.oup.com/bioscience/subscribe>. The current year and two previous years' issues are available from Oxford University Press. Previous volumes can be obtained from the Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526, USA. E-mail: psc@periodicals.com. Telephone: 518-537-4700; fax: 518-537-5899.

Advertising: Advertising, inserts, and artwork enquiries should be addressed to Advertising and Special Sales, Oxford Journals, Oxford University Press, Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP, UK. Telephone: +44-01865-354767; fax: +44-01865-353774; e-mail: jnladvertising@oup.com. For information about classified placements and deadlines, contact KERH Group LLC (info@kerhgroup.com).

Permissions: For information on how to request permissions to reproduce articles or information from this journal, please visit https://academic.oup.com/journals/pages/access_purchase_rights_and_permissions.

Instructions for Authors: Full instructions for manuscript preparation and submission can be found at: https://academic.oup.com/bioscience/pages/General_Instructions.

© 2022 American Institute of Biological Sciences.
All rights reserved. Printed by The Sheridan Press.

BioScience®

A Forum for Integrating the Life Sciences

American Institute of Biological Sciences

Pluralism in Ecological Research

In 1987, one of my ecological heroes, Robert McIntosh, wrote the review “Pluralism in Ecology” in the *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, making a plea for just that. Responding to what was offered as “new ecology” at the time, McIntosh argued the need for “tolerance of different methods and even of different philosophies of doing ecology.” I am tempted to believe that this philosophy is welcomed by most ecologists today, but I might be naive. One bit of evidence supporting the notion of pluralism is provided by the way ecologists actually go about doing research. Collectively, we use a variety of methods, including long- and short-term field experiments, greenhouse experiments, modeling, synthesis and meta-analysis, and—most recently—distributed, coordinated experiments.

In this editorial, I want to focus on distributed, coordinated experiments. These experiments are designed from the bottom up, often by a small group of coordinators, and the work is performed on a voluntary basis by researchers around the world. A great example is the Nutrient Network (NutNet; <https://nutnet.org>). Distributed experiments are generally built around relatively straightforward questions, such as how nitrogen addition affects grassland diversity, which can be addressed with very simple and mostly inexpensive designs to encourage broad participation. Recently, we shared our thoughts on why distributed, coordinated experiments must go global (<https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biab033>). For example, no one continent covered the range of environmental conditions where a given ecosystem, such as grasslands, occurs around the globe. In that case, we focused on DroughtNet, which is modeled after NutNet.

Bottom-up networks are good for ecology. Such networks create opportunities for broad participation, because the entrance costs are low. Their strengths lie in the cross-site comparison but at the sacrifice of within-site replication. As a consequence of their (more or less) global extent, the results from these experimental networks are thought to be more generalizable than single-site experiments. They also create an international network of participants with similar research interests.

Their success, on the other hand, has the worrisome potential that these networks will become an ecology unto themselves. Network papers increasingly cite other network papers to the detriment of other work on a given topic. There can be too much focus on the global average response to a treatment, which is much less valuable than explaining the variation around that global mean value. And in some instances, network data are used to answer questions they are not well suited for.

I raise these concerns from the inside. Although I am a minor leaguer in NutNet, I have been much more involved in DroughtNet. I believe such networks are a valuable tool in the ecological toolbox, but we need to remind ourselves that all approaches are needed to address important ecological questions. Therefore, the results from network-level science will be most useful when they are interpreted within the context of what we have learned from complementary approaches. Now more than ever, we need pluralism in ecology to address the big ecological challenges facing humanity, as McIntosh advised us more than 30 years ago.

SCOTT L. COLLINS
Editor in Chief

<https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biac089>